

REVERSIONER

I make no claim with respect to the title (**name / nom de guerre is a title**) and I surrender and assign any and all ‘Reversionary Interest’ to the united states and subsidiaries for full ‘Acquittance Discharge Settlement’ and closure of my reliance Title 12 USC 95a, part 2; and I assume no liability and do not consent to stand as ‘Surety’ for any point, moment in time.



The above affirmative statement (**in concept or relative content**) made by a conscious **Asserter**, may be used to ‘**Rebut**’ an **injurious or wrongful assumption made by another**, or to ‘**Revert**’ one’s **rightful Legal Position, Status, (Estate) and Standing**; especially when put into an **unconscionably - assumed position of obligatory ‘Debt’ or ‘Subjection’** as imposed by ‘**persons doing business as the foreign, Corporate United States, or by any other foreign person, agent, or agency**. A **Reversioner (in Law)** is one who has a **Reversion or right to receive an ‘Estate in Reversion’**. **Reversion** is derived from the **Old Moorish Latin word, reversion, derived from reversus. See Reverse. Also see Revert** which means “to go back in action, thought, speech, et cetera” to return, as to a former practice, opinion, state, or subject; or as in Law, to go back to a former owner or his heirs. Think of this as in the nature of ‘**Restitution**’ or in an action bringing about the return or the giving back of some property; some Estate; or in general, the giving back to the **rightful Owner or Heir of something that has been lost or taken away**; as in the word, ‘**Restore**’.

For Example. :

In the case of **United States vs. Arjona, 120 U.S. 479 (1887)**, the Supreme Court held that Congress had the authority under the ‘**Define and Punish Clause**’ to declare that “the counterfeiting within the United States of the ‘**Notes**’ of a **foreign bank or corporation**” was “an offense against the law of nations,” *id.* At 482-83, reasoning that “if the thing made punishable is

one which the United States are required by their international obligations to use due diligence to prevent, it is an offense against the law of nations.” Id.at 488. This Court has also recognized that the ‘*Define and Punish Clause*’ “authorizes Congress to derive from the often broadly phrased principles of international law a more precise code... necessary to bring the United States into compliance with rules governing the international community.” Finzer, 798 F.2d at 1455. In a series of tribunal decisions, treaties, and other authoritative pronouncements, the international community has made it plain that directly facilitating acts of terrorism and other war crimes cannot be tolerated.

Facts, Definitions and Points for Study; Including Legal Terms or Phrases:

1. Reversion or ‘Estate in Reversion’: relates to the residue of an *Estate* left by operation of law in the *Grantor* or his *Heirs*, or in the *Heirs* of a *Testator*, commencing in possession on the determination of a particular *Estate* granted or devised. *Reversion* also relates to any future interest left in a *Transferor* or his successor, and is a vested interest or *Estate*, in as much as a person entitled to it has a fixed right to future enjoyment. The term, *Reversion* has two (2) meanings; first, as a designating the *Estate* left in the *Grantor* during the continuance of a particular *Estate* and also the residue left in the *Grantor* or his *Heirs* after termination of a particular *Estate*. It differs from a remainder in that it arises by act of law, whereas a remainder is by act of the parties. A ‘*Reversion*’ moreover is the remnant of the whole ‘*Estate*’ disposed of, after a presiding part of the same has been given away.

2. Revert: means ‘*to turn back, to return to*’. With respect to property, ‘*Revert*’ means to go back to and lodge in the *former owner*, who parted with it by creating *Estate* in another which has expired, or to his *Heirs*. In a loose way, ‘*Revert to*’ is sometimes used in a ‘*Will*’ as the

equivalent of “*go to*” and, where the language of a *Will* so indicates, it will be construed as used to designate the person to whom the *Testator* wished the land to be given.

3. Reverter: In the event of a *Reversion*, a possibility of *Reverter* is that a species of *Reversionary Interest* which exists when the *Grant* is so limited that it may possibly terminate.

4. Reversionary Interest: Relates to the *Interest* which a person has in the *Reversion* of *lands* or other *property*. Such is the right to the future enjoyment of property, at present in the possession or occupation of another.

5. Reversio: The returning of land to the *Donor*.

6. Name: Is the designation of an *individual person*, or a *firm* or *corporation*. This is taken with the consideration that legally, the word, *person* also means, *corporation*. A person’s “*name*” consists of one or more [Christian] or given names and one *surname* or *family name*. Name is the distinctive characterization in words by which one is known and distinguished from others, and *description*, or an *abbreviation*, is not the equivalent of a “*name*”.

7. Grantor: Is the person by whom a *grant* is made.

8. Grant: means, “*to bestow, to confer*”. Grant is a generic term applicable to all transfers of real property; including transfers by operation of law as well as voluntary transfers.

9. Grantee: One to whom a *Grant* is made.

10. American: noun “An Aboriginal or one of the various copper – colored natives found on the American Continent by the Europeans; the original application of the name”. – *Webster’s 1828 American Dictionary of the English Language; and 1936 – Webster’s Unabridged Dictionary*.

11. Hypothecate: To claim, to confiscate, to steal, or to garner (*by fiat authority or arbitrary*) the possessions or property of another, without actually possessing it; to pledge the property of someone to another as ‘*Security*’ without transferring possession or title.

12. Status: Is the *standing*, the *state*, or the *condition* of the *person*; it relates to the *legal relation* of the individual to the rest of the *community*. Status relates to the *rights*, the *duties*, the *capacities*, and to the *incapacities* which determine a person to a given class. It is a legal personal relationship, not temporary in its nature, nor terminable at the mere will of the parties, with which *third parties* and the *state* are concerned. And while the term, ‘*Status*’ implies relation it is not a mere relation. *Status* also means, *Estate*, because ‘*Status*’ signifies the condition or the circumstances in which one stands with regard to his *property*.

13. Estate: The *interest* which any one has in lands, or in any other subject or property. An *Estate* in *lands*, *tenements*, and *hereditaments* signifies such interest as the *Tenant* has therein. In this sense, “*Estate*” is constantly used in conveyances in connection with the words “*right*,” “*title*,” and “*interest*,” and is, in a great degree, synonymous with all of them.

14. International Law: relates to the rules and obligations, generally observed and regarded as binding in the relations of and between nations.

15. Testator: is the past participle of *testari* and relates to *Testament*. A *Testator* is thus a person who has made a ‘*Will*’. This is of particular interest when referencing one who has died and has left a valid ‘*Will*’.

16. Tenant: is derived from the Old Moorish Latin word, *tenere* which means “*to hold*”. A *Tenant* is a person who pays rent to occupy or to use land, a building, et cetera; a person who possesses lands, et cetera, by any kind of title.

In the process of reviewing and studying the applicable use of the word, *Reversioner*; one is advised to thoroughly study and review ***House Joint Resolution (HJR) 192, 73rd Congress in Session June 5th 1933***, which can be intellectually understood as an Act of Misprision and Treason committed under a “*Color – of – Authority*”; establishing a “*Color – of – Law*”; and imposed under a “*Color – of – Office*” by virtue of “*Constitutional Blasphemy*”. See U.S. Bankruptcy speech made by Representative of Ohio, James Traficant. For reference, the text of his speech on the floor of the House of Representatives for the United States is presented for one’s examination, dissection, and legal analysis. Of particular note, one is advised to strongly consider the deceptions, debilitating misrepresentations, and the *usury - oriented* nature of the “*Birth Certificates*” as they have been, and are, used by the Administrators of the Corporate United States as profitable ‘*Surety – Instruments*’ manipulated and proffered for supporting their specious extortion programs; for human trafficking; and for promoting their *Doctrine of Discovery* ‘*Genocide*’ practices. The life and liberty - hypothecating *Birth Certificate’s* purposed legal function was and is for maintaining and veiling bureaucratized servitude and Peonage; and as a negotiable instrument tool, officiously transferred as *stock* by *disingenuous persons* with unclean hands and doing business as the franchised United States ‘*Corporate Entities*’ and ‘*Corporate States*’, et cetera.

Also, one must be cognizant of the political, historical, and legal facts that ‘all’ ***United States Corporate Entities*** are actually and factually, veiled franchises of “***The Roman Curia***”.

The ***Roman Curia*** (*Curia Romana*) relates to the ancient and to the present – day, fiercely – dedicated, rigidly – ruled and well - organized body of men forming ten political subdivisions into which the Etruscan, the Latin, and the Sabine tribes were each divided. The *Curia’s* meeting place was the ‘***Senate House***’ at Rome. It has a judicial council or court that meets in the King’s

name. The *Roman Curia* is generally recognized as the administrative body of the **Roman Catholic Church**, and consists of the various departments, courts, officials, and corporate entities, et cetera, functioning under the authority of the **Pope**.

In order to put the deviant U.S. Colonial government *Actors'* Papal relationship into true social, historical, and political perspective, also see and analyze **“The Spanish Inquisition”** **“The Secret Treaty of Verona”** **“The Doctrine of Discovery”** **“The Christian Black Codes of 1724”** and the **“Corpse – Person – Creating”** nature of the spurious **14th Amendment (1868)**. These infamous historical, political, and internationally – applied ‘*Papal Bullas*’ and life - destroying ‘*Inquisition Policies*’ conjoin to formulate the social and *political guidance manuals* deemed for occupational government administration by and for the foreign, European Colonial operations and operators. As *doctrinaire*, these cleverly comprise the rigid root structure of their corporate - model focus and *Modus Operandi*. The same are initiated against all Asiatic / African / Aboriginal and Indigenous *natural peoples* of the earth. These foresaid *Papal Dictums* of the *Roman Bishopric* and *Curia* (*but not limited to them*) are expediently supported in the western hemisphere by the spurious creation of the **14th Amendment** of 1868 and strengthened by its regulatory and purposefully damnable constructs of their utilitarian **“Man – of – Straw”** ‘*Nom de Guerre*’ or ‘*Straw-man*’ instruments and quasi-legal actions.

The **14th Amendment** marriage to these foresaid **Papal Doctrines**, (*enhanced by **Ens Legis** deviations*) revealed telling inter-social truths and facts about social – engineering putridity, and should never be ignored nor minimized. These tools (*and other constructs having or possessing related character*) comprise the true and sometimes concealed *nature* and *Mission* of the political, socio-economic, and *war agendas* of the *occupying* European Colonists. These entrenched *Papal Dictums* formulated subjugation - guidelines and are referenced for

determining social – engineering practices and propaganda tools of the Corporate Actors / Persons doing business as the *United States Corporation Company*; by their agencies; by their agents; and / or by their hired employees, personnel, contractors, and by other contracted operatives.

With their Inquisition – Colonial Mission well – entrenched at North America, the *Deputy Knights* for the *Pope* began to be more blatant, confident, open and arrogant in demonstrating their true allegiances and supports for the Inquisition Mission at North America / Al Moroc. While other destructive and pestiferous acts committed by other men preceded them (*rife with various measures of Misprision*), for the moment, we will refer the readers to critique the repugnant activities of [President] *Woodrow Wilson*, backed by his Administration and the rogue *Congress* doing business during his tenure. With obvious and timely collusion, note the later associated acts committed by [President] *Franklin Delano Roosevelt*, his Administration, and a *rogue Congress* that backed his treasonous activities. In some ways, (*and not to minimize the acts of Woodrow Wilson*) we can look at *Franklin Delano Roosevelt* and his Administration's tenure as the *Inquisition Deputy Knights'* coming out of the closet party. Some of the revelations made via *House Joint Resolution 192, 73rd Congress in Session, June 5th 1933* were an indicator. Review *James Traficant's* revealing *speech* on the House floor.

* * * * *

James Anthony Traficant, Jr. (*born May 8, 1941*) is a former Democratic Representative in the United States Congress from Ohio (*from 1985 to 2002*). He represented the 17th Congressional District, which centered on the areas of his hometown of Youngstown and included parts of three counties in northeast Ohio's Mahoning Valley. He was expelled [from Congress] after being convicted of taking bribes, filing false tax returns, racketeering, and forcing his aides to perform chores at his farm in Ohio and on his houseboat in Washington, D.C., and was released from prison on September 2, 2009, after serving a seven-year sentence.”

I don't know the details or the actual political timing concerning Mr. Traficant's conviction in A.D. 2002, but if the criminal allegations against him were in fact, established by 'due process' to be true, [sic] I would have expected him to have been made '*Speaker of the House*' rather than his

being expelled from Congress. Clearly and lawfully, an **'Execution in Bankruptcy'** means, "to put to death in accordance with a legally – imposed sentence" and to administer the applicable dissolution laws and processes, *et cetera*, to carry the same into effect". As a synonym, see kill; to put an end to; to ruin; to cause to stop; to turn off; to spoil the effect of, to terminate the existence of; and to destroy.

In fact, and by the exposure of various formerly undisclosed documents, many people believe that Mr. Traficant was ultimately targeted, tried and sentenced in A.D. 2002 for having made an extraordinary speech in A.D. 1993, and delivering the same to Congress. In that speech, Congressman James Traficant alleged the following:

- 1). The U.S. government is **bankrupt**;
- 2). The Federal Government was **'dissolved'** by the **'Emergency Banking Act of A.D. 1933'**;
- 3). The **"Receivers"** of the **'US Bankruptcy'** were the **"International Bankers, via the United Nations"**; and the **International Monetary Fund** (IMF).
- 4). The colorably – created U.S. monetary system was a **fraud**.

As I said, James Traficant made an extraordinary speech on the floor of the House of Representatives. He was lucky to have merely been jailed; he might have been shot – or subjected to some other spurious physical harm - a not so uncommon act – often perpetrated by a *rogue government* operatives and members of a *de facto, impersonating* body politic.

Nevertheless, in A.D. 1993, while James Traficant's speech was indeed remarkable, the underlying idea that the U.S. government was **'bankrupt'** and in the process of **'Reorganization'** seemed unremarkable or even false. Everyone, or a majority of the people who bothered to study the so-called, *"patriot"* issues back then already knew the U.S. government was **bankrupt**, but most people (*some in denial*) naively assumed that the disrupted, executed, and dysfunctional government would simply continue to function forever in that condition.

It wasn't until A.D. 1997 that I (*at least*) began to suspect that *James Traficant* was right about the damnable conclusions at which he arrived about the affairs of the State. Sometime after A.D. 1993, the U.S. government had apparently gone through a (*not openly – published*) **"Reorganization"** and astonishingly, may have officially *ceased to exist*. I based my suspicions, supported primarily on the A.D. 1997 publication of the 7th Edition of *H. C. Black's Law Dictionary* which *deleted* the three (3) original legal definitions of **"United States"** (*declared by the U.S. Supreme Court in the Hooven & Allison vs. Evatt case*) that had clearly appeared in *H. C. Black's 4th, 5th, and 6th Editions*, and *added* a completely new definition of **"United States of America"** which had not been defined in the *4th, 5th and 6th Editions* of the *Law Dictionary*. This documented evidence was undeniable and was (*comparatively*) completely different from the *'Hooven & Allison vs. Evatt case'* definitions. I can't prove it, but I believe that the reason **"United States"** was missing from the 7th (*and then 8th*) Editions of *H. C. Black's Law Dictionary* is because the **"United States"** had, in fact, and for all legal intents and purposes, **ceased to exist**. Was this and other noted activities really expository evidence of a

past or present *coup* or *overthrow* of the *Republic*? The seemingly political impossible had taken place. The long – respected and obviously compromised and mismanaged U.S. government had been ‘**Executed in Bankruptcy**’ – exactly as Congressman James Traficant had predicted or implied 4 years earlier in his speech to the United States Congress. Upon these established facts, the Congress would notably be (*a defunct, unlawful, invalid, and perfidious body politic fraudulently operating in, and with, an untenable and de facto posture*).

There are other reasons to suspect that the ‘U.S. Government Entity’ may have ceased to exist. Note the following:

1). About the same time *H. C. Black’s Law* deleted the term “**United States**,” the apparent **U.S. government - corporation** issued a new-and-improved *currency* that had a completely ‘*new design*’. The alleged purpose of that *new currency* was to “*thwart counterfeiting*”. However, I know that, at bottom, “*counterfeiting*” is a *copyright* violation. I speculated that the real reason for issuing a newly - designed paper [dollar] in the 1990s may not have been to “*thwart counterfeiting*” but rather to create a ‘**New Bill**’ and by its creation, craft a *new copyright* owned by whatever ‘*New Entity*’ that was created to *replace* or substitute the *late and former* (now “*executed*”) United States government. If the “*old*” and defunct ‘United States’ had owned the *copyright* to the **Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs)** and had been ‘**Executed in Bankruptcy**’ the alleged “*new*” **United States** would legally need a ‘*new*’ *copyright* which would require a ‘*new-and-improved design*’.

2). The keen observer will notice that ‘Federal Court Cases’ that had previously been styled “**United States vs. Smith**” were suddenly styled “**United States of America vs. Smith**”. The altered record seemingly had a “*new-and-improved*” *plaintiff* with a *different* name.

3). More recently, if you visit *Manta.com* you will find a list of over **63 million private corporations**. The data for these listings is provided by *Dunn & Bradstreet*. If you enter terms like “*The White House*,” “*House of Representatives*,” “*Internal Revenue Service*,” and “*Barack H. Obama*” into the *Manta.com* search engine; you will find those entities listed as ‘*private corporations*’. We have found *courts* and *state agencies* also listed as ‘*private corporations*’. It appears that the constitutional governments of the United States and of the several States of the Union may have been supplanted or replaced by a *conglomerate* of private corporations.

4). The term, “**United States**” (*obviously missing from H. C. Black’s Law Dictionary, 7th and 8th Editions*) has (*mysteriously*) *returned* in the Dictionary texts after being absent, to be included in *H. C. Black’s Law Dictionary, 9th Edition*; and is currently defined as “**see UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**”. In other words, today, and in an *ex post – facto* action, “**United States**” and “**United States of America**” are deceptively being defined as *synonymous*. If that contradiction is held as lawful in any rational form or fashion, then the earlier Supreme Court decision in the *Hooven & Allison vs. Evatt* case stands as completely absurd.

5). **Article 1, Section 10** of the American Constitution (*the ‘Supreme Law of the Land’*) established that:

Section 10 *No state shall enter into any treaty, alliance, or confederation; grant letters of marque and reprisal; coin money; emit bills of credit; make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts; pass any bill of attainder, ex post facto law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts, or grant any title of nobility.*

No state shall, without the consent of the Congress, lay any imposts or duties on imports or exports, except what may be absolutely necessary for executing its inspection laws: and the net produce of all duties and imposts, laid by any state on imports or exports, shall be for the use of the treasury of the United States; and all such laws shall be subject to the revision and control of the Congress.

No state shall, without the consent of Congress, lay any duty of tonnage, keep troops, or ships of war in time of peace, enter into any agreement or compact with another state, or with a foreign power, or engage in war, unless actually invaded, or in such imminent danger as will not admit of delay.

No state shall enter into any treaty, alliance, or confederation; grant letters of marque and reprisal; coin money; emit bills of credit; make anything but gold and silver coin a tender in payment of debts;

Upon documented facts and by applying intelligent analysis, I do not believe the Supreme Court was absurd in the *Hooven & Allison vs. Evatt* case.

Again, I can't prove it, but I am drawn to the fantastic, informational, and fact - supported conclusion that the "United States Government" was '**Executed in Bankruptcy**' at a timeline somewhere around A.D. 1995-1996 – just as Congressman James Traficant had predicted.

Here (and momentarily) we will dissect the texts of Congressman James Traficant's speech – addressing the House of Representatives! For study, for actionable functionality, and for law analysis, please do not hesitate to use a yellow highlight marker to note the primal and related sections of the speech which will give credence to the nature of, and to the use and application of, the word and position of Reversioner in this particular instance.

Analyze how the position of '**Reversioner**' invokes or initiates a **Reversion** or **Reclamation of Estate** in relationship to the commonly misrepresented and misused political functions of the corporate State *Actors* and *Persons* in their practiced and colorable misuses of the corporately - created "**Birth Certificates**". Among the other pertinent matters included in Traficant's speech, do not fail to recognize the legal remedies noted via *Public Law*, concerning the stated functions of '**Discharge**' as distinguished from one's diverted and compromised legal capacity to '**Pay**'.

In all matters of so-called '**Debts**', alleged under the bankrupt, insolvent, executed, and dissolved U.S. government, the "**Discharge vs. Pay**" Remedy means, "to put right"; *something that cures, corrects, counteracts, removes an evil or wrong; invokes legal redress; puts back in proper condition; and in Law, a means, as in a court action, by which a violation of a right is prevented or compensated for*". Therefore, the '**Discharge**' remedy, as stated in the **U.S. Bankruptcy** speech (and with all legal and lawful facts closely observed) the prescribed '**Administrative Processes**' should also be taken into serious operational and analytical

consideration. With the foresaid dispensations in mind, and with critical thinking, we shall review and analyze the expository speech made by James Traficant – Representative for Ohio.

United States Congressional Record, March 17, 1993

Vol. 33, page H-1303.

Speaker: Rep. James Traficant, Jr. (*Representative of Ohio*) Addressing the House:

“Mr. Speaker, we are here now in Chapter 11. Members of Congress are official trustees presiding over the greatest reorganization of any Bankrupt entity in world history, the U.S. Government. We are setting forth hopefully, a blueprint for our future. There are some who say it is a coroner’s report that will lead to our demise.

It is an established fact that the United States Federal Government has been dissolved by the Emergency Banking Act, March 9, 1933, 48 Stat. 1, Public Law 89-719; declared by President Roosevelt, being bankrupt and insolvent. H.J.R. 192, 73rd Congress in session June 5, 1933 – Joint Resolution to Suspend the Gold Standard and Abrogate the Gold Clause dissolved the Sovereign Authority of the United States and the official capacities of all United States Governmental Offices, Officers, and Departments and is further evidence that the United States Federal Government exists today in name only.

The receivers of the United States Bankruptcy are the International Bankers, via the United Nations, the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund. All United States Offices, Officials, and Departments are now operating within a de facto status in name only under Emergency War Powers. With the Constitutional Republican form of Government now dissolved, the receivers of the Bankruptcy have adopted a new form of government for the United States. This new form of government is known as a Democracy, being an established Socialist/Communist order under a new governor for America. This act was instituted and established by transferring and/or placing the Office of the Secretary of Treasury to that of the Governor of the International Monetary Fund. Public Law 94-564, page 8, Section H.R. 13955 reads in part: “The U.S. Secretary of Treasury receives no compensation for representing the United States.”

Gold and silver were such a powerful money during the founding of the united states of America that the founding fathers declared that only gold or silver coins can be “money” in America. Since gold and silver coinage were heavy and inconvenient for a lot of transactions, they were stored in banks and a claim check was issued as a money substitute. People traded their coupons as money, or “currency.” Currency is not money, but a money substitute. Redeemable currency must promise to pay a dollar equivalent in gold or silver money. Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) make no such promises, and are not “money.” A Federal Reserve Note is a debt obligation of the federal United States government, not “money.” The federal United States government and the U.S. Congress were not and have never been authorized by the Constitution for the United States of America to issue currency of any kind, but only lawful money, gold and silver coin.

It is essential that we comprehend the distinction between real money and paper money substitute. One cannot get rich by accumulating money substitutes; one can only get deeper into debt. We the People no longer have any “money.” Most Americans have not been paid any “money” for a very long time, perhaps not in their entire life. Now do you comprehend why you feel broke? Now, do you understand why you are “bankrupt,” along with the rest of the country?

Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) are unsigned checks written on a closed account. FRNs are an inflatable paper system designed to create debt through inflation (devaluation of currency). When ever there is an increase of the supply of a money substitute in the economy without a corresponding increase in the gold and silver backing, inflation occurs.

Inflation is an invisible form of taxation that irresponsible governments inflict on their citizens. The Federal Reserve Bank who controls the supply and movement of FRNs has everybody fooled. They have access to an unlimited supply of FRNs, paying only for the printing costs of what they need. FRNs are nothing more than promissory notes for U.S. Treasury securities (T-Bills) – a promise to pay the debt to the Federal Reserve Bank.

There is a fundamental difference between “paying” and “discharging” a debt. To pay a debt, you must pay with value or substance (i.e. gold, silver, barter or a commodity). With FRNs, you can only discharge a debt. You cannot pay a debt with a debt currency system. You cannot service a debt with a currency that has no backing in value or substance. No contract in Common law is valid unless it involves an exchange of “good & valuable consideration.” Unpayable debt transfers power and control to the sovereign power structure that has no interest in money, law, equity or justice because they have so much wealth already.

Their lust is for power and control. Since the inception of central banking, they have controlled the fates of nations.

The Federal Reserve System is based on the Canon law and the principles of sovereignty protected in the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. In fact, the international bankers used a “Canon Law Trust” as their model, adding stock and naming it a “Joint Stock Trust.” The U.S. Congress had passed a law making it illegal for any legal “person” to duplicate a “Joint Stock Trust” in 1873. The Federal Reserve Act was legislated post-facto (to 1870), although post-facto laws are strictly forbidden by the Constitution. [1:9:3]

The Federal Reserve System is a sovereign power structure separate and distinct from the federal United States government. The Federal Reserve is a maritime lender, and/or maritime insurance underwriter to the federal United States operating exclusively under Admiralty/Maritime law. The lender or underwriter bears the risks, and the Maritime law compelling specific performance in paying the interest, or premiums are the same.

Assets of the debtor can also be hypothecated (to pledge something as a security without taking possession of it.) as security by the lender or underwriter. The Federal Reserve Act stipulated that the interest on the debt was to be paid in gold. There was no stipulation in the Federal Reserve Act for ever paying the principle.

Prior to 1913, most Americans owned clear, allodial title to property, free and clear of any liens or mortgages until the Federal Reserve Act (1913) “Hypothecated” all property within the federal United States to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve, -in which the Trustees (stockholders) held legal title. The U.S. citizen (tenant, franchisee) was registered as a “beneficiary” of the trust via his / her birth certificate. In 1933, the federal United States hypothecated all of the present and future properties, assets and labor of their “subjects,” the 14th Amendment U.S. citizen, to the Federal Reserve System.

In return, the Federal Reserve System agreed to extend the federal United States Corporation all the credit “money substitute” it needed. Like any other debtor, the federal United States government had to assign collateral and security to their creditors as a condition of the loan. Since the federal United States didn’t have any assets, they assigned the private property of their “economic slaves”, the U.S. citizens as collateral against the unpayable federal debt. They also pledged the unincorporated federal territories, national parks forests, birth certificates, and nonprofit organizations, as collateral against the federal debt. All has already been transferred as payment to the international bankers.

Unwittingly, America has returned to its pre-American Revolution, feudal roots whereby all land is held by a sovereign and the common people had no rights to hold allodial title to property. Once again, ‘We the People’ are the tenants and sharecroppers renting our own property from a Sovereign in the guise of the Federal Reserve Bank. We the people have exchanged one master for another.

This has been going on for over eighty years without the “informed knowledge” of the American people, without a voice protesting loud enough. Now it’s easy to grasp why America is fundamentally bankrupt.

Why don’t more people own their properties outright?

Why are 90% of Americans mortgaged to the hilt and have little or no assets after all debts and liabilities have been paid? Why does it feel like you are working harder and harder and getting less and less?

We are reaping what has been sown, and the results of our harvest is a painful bankruptcy, and a foreclosure on American property, precious liberties, and a way of life. Few of our elected representatives in Washington, D.C. have dared to tell the truth. The federal United States is bankrupt. Our children will inherit this unpayable debt, and the tyranny to enforce paying it.

America has become completely bankrupt in world leadership, financial credit and its reputation for courage, vision and human rights. This is an undeclared economic war, bankruptcy, and economic slavery of the most corrupt order! Wake up America! Take back your Country!”

- *United States Congressional Records*

* * * * *

Obvious ‘**Breach of Trust**’ activities were committed by, demonstrated by, and promoted by, [President] **Woodrow Wilson** (See the unconstitutional establishment of ‘**The Federal Reserve**’;

'B'nai B'rith' and the obscured 'Inquisition Revenue Services' (a hidden agenda) deceptively styled as, "The Internal Revenue Service", et cetera). 'Constitutional Blasphemy' was further institutionalized amongst the rogue operatives in the U.S. government by concocting the colorable legislation that brought about the "Gold Act" and the "Trading with the Enemy Act" (See the unlawful imposition of these Acts initiated under the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Administration.)

The House of Representatives is the lower branch of the legislature of the United States, and most of the several States of the United States. This type of institutional structure may also be found in other countries.

Evidence exposing collusion, criminality, and undisclosed alliances, reveals treasonous leanings harbored among the membership (*Congressmen and Senators, et cetera*). Proof can also be seen within the texts of the *"Secret Treaty of Verona"*. Such is evidenced and documented in the *Congressional Records*.

To bring some clearer social and political perspective to the present subject matters contained within this short treatise, let us note (*for later studies and discussions*) the more hidden or shadowed aspects and 'persons' and Actors behind the *Misprision agendas*. I will state the following, and move on!

"Rerum Novarum" is more or less, the 'Inquisition Agenda - Bulla Title' given to the collective operative Missions, principles, opinions, activities, and plans by which the *Pope of Rome*; the Roman Bishopric; their Familiars; their Minions; their Deputy Knights; member of their various Secret Societies; and their collective contracting parties, conspire, act, and plan, to bring the Asiatic / African / Aboriginal Natural Peoples and the world, under the *subjugation and control* of the *Papacy*. *'Rerum Novarum'* activities however (*and by default*) do not exclude the recognition of consequential or unintended collateral damages and injuries inadvertently caused to some European nation - States, et cetera, (Christendom). This logistical anomaly serves as means to an end, viewing the imprecise nature of warfare; of social – engineering; and of the political nature inherent in overt and covert acts of subterfuge, et cetera. Means and ends strategies are taken into logistical consideration, in that most European States have been, and are, by virtue of the "Niceno – Constantinopolitan Hegemony Agenda", the intended Beneficiaries of 'The Spanish Inquisition' 'The Secret Treaty of Verona' and of their subsequently – birthed, conjoined, and more contemporary (Ens Legis) offspring, via 'Constitutional Blasphemy' activities consisting of (banking frauds; dead-pledge mortgages; counterfeit; fiat; birth – certificate – bondage; 14th Amendment corpse - creations; Trading with the Enemy Act; The Gold Act; The Buck Act; The King Alfred Plan, The Rex 84 Plan; Homeland Security; and like colorable and spurious legislation, et cetera). The associate nature of these can be noted in such operations and *Bullas* such as, *The Secret Treaty of Verona*, as read and put before the House of Representatives by *Senator Robert Latham Owen* in 1916.

Robert Latham Owen was Senator of Oklahoma and held office from 1907 to 1925; and also served as Chairman of the Senate for Banking and Currency. *'The Secret Treaty of Verona'* reads as follows:

House of Representatives

64th Congressional Record – Senate

Extract from the 1916

Senator Owen: I wish to put in the Record the ‘**Secret Treaty of Verona**’ of November 22, 1822, showing what this ancient conflict is between the rule of the few and the rule of the many. I wish to call the attention of the Senate to this treaty because it is the threat of this treaty which was the basis of the ‘**Monroe Doctrine**’. It throws a powerful white light upon the conflict between monarchical government and government by the people. The Holy Alliance under the influence of Metternich, the Premier of Austria, in 1822, issued this remarkable secret document:

SECRET TREATY OF VERONA

AMERICAN DIPLOMATIC CODE, 1778 - 1884, Vol. 2; Elliott, page 179.

The undersigned, specially authorized to make some additions to the ‘Treaty of the Holy Alliance’, after having exchanged their respective credentials, have agreed as follows:

ARTICLE 1 The high contracting powers, being convinced that the system of representative government is equally as incompatible with the monarchical principles as the maxim of the sovereignty of the people with the divine right, engage mutually, in the most solemn manner, to use all that their efforts to put an end to the system of representative governments, in whatever county it may exist in Europe, and to prevent it being introduced in those countries where it is not yet known.

ARTICLE 2 As it can not be doubted that the liberty of the press is the most powerful means used by the pretended supporters of the rights of nations to the detriment of those of princes, the high contracting parties promise reciprocally to adopt all proper measures to suppress it, not only in their own States but also in the rest of Europe.

ARTICLE 3 Convinced that the principles of religion contribute most powerfully to keep nations in the state of passive obedience which they owe to their princes, the high contracting parties declare it to be their intention to sustain in their respective States those measures which clergy may adopt, with the aim of ameliorating their own interests, intimately connected with the preservation of the authority of the princes and the contracting powers join in offering their thanks to the Pope for what he has already done for them, and solicit his constant cooperation in their views of submitting the nations.

ARTICLE 4 The situation of Spain and Portugal unite unhappily all the circumstances to which this treaty has particular reference. The contracting parties, in confiding to France the care of putting an end to them, engaged to assist her in the matter which may the least compromit (sic) them with their own people and the people of France by means of a subsidy on the part of the two empires of 20,000,000 of francs every year from the date of the signature of this treaty to the end of the war.

ARTICLE 5 In order to establish in the Peninsula in the order of things which existed before the revolution of Cadiz, and to insure the entire execution of the articles of the present treaty, the high contracting parties give to each other the reciprocal assurance that as long as their views are not fulfilled, rejecting all other ideas of utility or other measure to be taken, they will address themselves with the shortest possible delay to all the authorities existing in their States and to all

their agents in foreign countries, with the view to establish connections tending toward the accomplishment of the objects proposed by this treaty.

ARTICLE 6 This treaty shall be renewed with such changes as new circumstances may give occasion for, either at a new congress or at the court of one of the contracting parties, as soon as the war with Spain shall be terminated.

ARTICLE 7 The present treaty shall be ratified and the ratifications exchanged at Paris within the space of six months.

Made at Verona the 22nd November, 1822.

for Austria: METTERNICH

for Prussia: BERNSTET

for France: CHATEAUBRIAN

for Russia: NESSELRODE

I ask to have printed in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD this secret treaty, because I think it ought to be called now to the attention of the people of the United States and of the world. This evidence of the conflict between the rule of the few versus popular government should be emphasized on the minds of the people of the United States, that the conflict now waging throughout the world may be more clearly understood, for after all said the great pending war springs from the weakness and frailty of government by the few, where human error is far more probable than the error of the many where aggressive war is only permitted upon the authorizing vote of those whose lives are jeopardized in the trenches of modern war.

Mr. SHAFROTH, Mr. President, I should like to have the senator state whether in that treaty there was not a coalition formed between the powerful countries of Europe to re-establish the sovereignty of Spain in the Republics of South and Central America?

Senator Owen: "I was just going to comment upon that, and I am going to take but a few moments to do so because I realize the pressure of other matters. This Holy Alliance, having put a Bourdon prince upon the throne of France by force, then used France to suppress the condition of Spain, immediately afterwards, and by this very treaty gave her a subsidy of 20,000,000 francs annually to enable her to wage war upon the people of Spain and prevent their exercise of any measure of the right of self-government.

The Holy Alliance immediately did not same thing in Italy, by sending Austrian troops to Italy, where the people there attempted to exercise a like measure of liberal constitutional self-government; and it was not until the printing press, which the Holy Alliance so stoutly opposed, taught the people of Europe the value of liberty that finally one country after another seized a greater and greater right of self-government, until now it may be fairly said that nearly all the nations of Europe have a very large measure of self-government. However, I wish to call the attention of the Senate to this important history in the growth of constitutional popular self-government.

The Holy Alliance made its powers felt by the wholesale drastic suppression of the press in Europe, by universal censorship, by killing free speech and all ideas of popular rights, and by the complete suppression of popular government."

"The Holy Alliance having destroyed popular government in Spain, and Italy, had well-laid plans also to destroy popular government in the American Colonies which had revolted from Spain and Portugal in Central and South America under the influence of the successful example of the United States."

"It was because of this conspiracy against the American Republics by the European monarchies that the great English statesman, Canning, called the attention of our government to it, and our statesmen then, including Thomas Jefferson, who was still living at that time, took an active part to bring about the declaration by President Monroe in his next annual message to the Congress of the United States that the United States would regard it as an act of hostility to the government of the United States and an unfriendly act, if this coalition, or if any power of Europe ever undertook to establish upon the American continent any control of any American republic, or to acquire any territorial rights."

"This is the so-called Monroe Doctrine. The threat under the Secret Treaty of Verona to suppress popular government in the American Republics is the basis of the Monroe Doctrine. This secret treaty sets fourth clearly the conflict between monarchial government and popular government, and the government of the few as against the government on the many. It is a part, in reality, of developing popular sovereignty when we demand for women equal rights to life, to liberty, to the possession of property, to an equal voice in the making of the laws and the administration of the laws. This demand on the part of the women is made by men, and it ought to be made by men as well as by thinking, progressive women, as it will promote human liberty and human happiness. I sympathize with it, and I hope that all parties will in the national conventions give their approval to this larger measure of liberty to the better half of the human race".

- Senator Owen, 64th Congressional Record - 1916

* * * * *

I further recommend the readers, scholars and the living, breathing, sentient Beings, manifested in human flesh, to read and analyze Article VI of the American Constitution, and to measure the actions of the U.S. Congress and all other alleged public officials accordingly.

Article VI *All debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation.*

This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the members of the several state legislatures, and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states, shall be bound by oath or affirmation, to support this Constitution; but no

religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States.

With the foresaid evidence, research, and documented facts herein presented, (*but not limited to them*) any conscionable natural, living man, woman, or child, has established legal grounds upon which to declare the “*Public Officials*” “*Public Servants*” “*Trustees / Feoffers*” “*Administrators*”, et cetera, as ‘*Misprision Actors*’ and ‘*Disqualified*’.

The dishonorable ‘*Operatives*’ doing business as, “*The United States Congress*” are ‘*Noticed*’, and all or any associated parties acting in collusion with them (*superiors and inferiors*), are dismissive “*Actors, in Breach of Trust*”. True identity distinctions shall be made (*by Heirship right and necessity*) between the *true* Al Moroccan / American Inhabitants of the Land (*Heirs*), and that of those (*diversity*) members of the occupational, Colonial European Immigrants and their descendants, (*settlers*). Considerations are to taken into account for those settlers sanctioned in *Treaty* and in *Constitutional Covenant*, to do business at North America / Al Moroc; and by virtue of the binding and conditional limitations set forth in those written instruments, for them to do ‘*commercial trade*’ in the Western Hemisphere.

In relationship to their (*Congress*’) obviously (*undisclosed*) allegiances to hostile, foreign powers, et cetera, the members of the United States Congress; (*past and present*) their franchised corporate “*States of*” Representatives; and their prime associate superior, Elizabeth II; (*See: Secret Treaty of Verona*) are (*for the public record*) charged with “***Breach of Trust***” “***Usufructuary Abuse***” “***Imperfect Usufruct***” and

The US POSTMASTER GENERAL; U.S. POSTMASTER GENERAL; US Postmaster General; U.S. Postmaster General; US Postmaster; U.S. Postmaster; UNITED STATES POSTMASTER; US POSTMASTER; U.S. POSTMASTER; United States Postmaster; Postmaster of the United States; POSTMASTER OF THE UNITED STATES; Postmaster of the United States of America; POSTMASTER OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; POSTMASTER FOR THE UNITED STATES; Postmaster for the United States; POSTMASTER FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; Postmaster for the United States of America; Postmaster for the united States of America, and the associate Actors are in ‘**BREACH OF TRUST**’ / ‘*Breach of Trust*’ and in ‘*Commercial Default*’ and ‘*Administrative Default*’. — All material interest, including controlling interest in The United States Trust (1789) as presumed on the part of these Actors, Persons and Entities are *Rebuked, Abridged, Fouled, Forsaken, Abandoned, Revoked, and Denied*. All such material and controlling interest in The United States Trust (1789) and its assets ‘**Reverts**’ and ‘**Re-vests**’ in the *true Heirs / Natural People of the Land* - being the living, *Al Moroccan / American inhabitants thereof*. — All actual and controlling interest in the *real* and intellectual properties and assets naturally belonging to the true, Aboriginal Inhabitants of the Land, in *Treaty*, with the agreed members of the *Republic* fifty (50) united States of America and to the peaceful Inhabitants thereof is theirs, by ‘*Right of Claim*’, due and owed, without debt; without lien; without *hypothecation*; absent of spurious title held under ‘*Color - of - Law*’; and absent of any other disingenuous encumbrances, together with all *Hereditaments, Interest and Profit* due from the *lease, occupation, and use* of these lands (*America / Al Moroc*), state and individual assets for the past centuries.

And in relationship to the multitudinous colorable acts committed during the last seventy (70) years or so, — OUT OF MANY – the Natural People of the Land, and all (*covenant – agreed*) members of the 50 geographic states of the united States of America / all counties; all townships; all properties and physical assets - ONE real estate – and OUT OF MANY – peaceful Inhabitants

of the Land; all members of the human race; all creeds; all kinds; all faiths – ONE people – be as-extracted ‘with prejudice’ from the dishonorable Actors / Breakers of Trust / Profiteering, impersonating Beneficiaries, in conjunction with their corporate entity, UNITED STATES and from THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and that the true and rightful Heirs / Beneficiaries to the Lands, Resources, and other Hereditaments, Corporeal and Incorporeal; be made whole; not misrepresented; not misclassified; and be as-extracted in-to the original ‘Societas Republicae Ea Al Maurikanos’ / united States of america — Assets of the Rightful Heirs and Secured Parties are part of The United States Trust (1789). — RECORD OWNER — The United States of America; U.S. Treasury DEPARTMENT; INTERNAL RE-VENUE SERVICE (IRS); and other associated AGENCIES Inquisition Entities, and ‘SECRET TREATY OF VERONA’ operatives and Actors. Impersonations, Impersonators, and Impostor actions and acts of Misprision shall be held for Cure and for ‘Equitable Resolution’.

* * * * *

∞ - Reversioner - ∞

- Reference to 12 U.S. Code § 95a -

12 U.S. Code § 95a – Regulation of Transactions in foreign exchange of gold and silver; property transfers; vested interests, enforcement and penalties

Part 2

Current through Pub. L. 113 – 163 (See Public Laws for the current Congress)

(2) Any payment, conveyance, transfer, assignment, or delivery of property or interest therein, made to or for the account of the United States, or as otherwise directed, pursuant to this section or any rule, regulation or instruction, or direction issued hereunder shall to the extent thereof be a full acquittance and discharge for all purposes of the obligation of the person making the same; and no person shall be held liable in any court for or in respect to anything done or omitted in good faith in connection with the administration of, or in pursuance of and in reliance on, this section, or any rule, regulation, or direction issued hereunder.

* * * * *

Acquittance: is a written ‘**Discharge**’ whereby one is freed from an obligation to pay money or perform a duty. **Discharge** differs from a *release* in not requiring to be under seal. **Discharge**, perhaps while not

strictly speaking is synonymous with '**Receipt**,' includes it. A '**Receipt**' is one form of **acquittance**; a '**Discharge**' is another form of **acquittance**. A Receipt in full is an acquittance, and a receipt for a part of a *demand* or *obligation* is an *acquittance pro tanto*. State vs. Shelters, 51 Vt. 104, 31 Am.Rep. 679.

Pro Tanto: is a law term that means, "*for so much; for as much as may be; for as far as it goes*".

Discharge also means, "*To release; to unburden; to liberate; to disencumber; to dismiss; and to extinguish an obligation.*" In the '*Law of Contracts*', *Discharge* means, "*to cancel or to unloose the obligation of a contract; to make an agreement or contract null, void, and inoperative.*" **Discharge**, as a noun, means, "*The act or instrument by which the binding force of a contract is terminated, irrespective of whether the contract is carried out to the full extent contemplated (in which case the discharge is the result of performance) or is broken off before complete execution.*" Rivers vs. Blom, 163 Mo. 442, 63 S.W. 812. **Discharge** is a generic term; and its principle species are, "*Rescission*" "*Release*" "*Accord*" and *Satisfaction; Performance, Judgment, Composition, Bankruptcy, and Merger*.

A *Discharge* as applied to demands, claims, rights of action, or encumbrances, et cetera, to *Discharge* the *Debt* or *Claim* is to *extinguish* it, to *annul* its *obligatory force*, to *satisfy* it. And here also the term is generic; thus a *debt*, a *mortgage*, a *legacy*, may be '*Discharged*' by *payment* or *performance*, or by any act short of that, lawful in itself, which the creditor accepts a sufficient.

There is a distinction between a "**Debt Discharged**" and a "**Debt Paid**". When discharged, the *Debt* still exists *though divested* of its character as a *legal obligation* during the operation of the *Discharge*. Something of the *original vitality* of the *Debt* continues to exist which may be transferred, even though the transferee takes its subject to its disability incident to the *Discharge*. The fact that it carries something that may be a consideration for a new '*promise to pay*' so as to make an otherwise worthless promise a legal obligation, makes it the subject of a transfer by assignment.

Discharge by the operation of law is where the discharge takes place, whether it was intended by the parties or not; thus, if a creditor appoints his debtor his executor, the '*Debt*' is '*Discharged*' by operation of law, because the '*Executor*' cannot have an action against himself. **Co.Litt 264b, note 1; Williams, Ex'rs, 1216; Chit.Cont. 714.**


Therefore, the extreme levels of corruption maintained by the descendants of the European – colonial occupiers of North America – doing business as THE UNITED STATES; the united states; The United States of America; et cetera, must not go unaddressed. The internationally recognized obligatory and operative nature of the *Bankruptcy* status of the foreign '*persons*' doing business as the United States Corporation Company / U.S. / United States of America, is recognized as a dishonorable construct of their own making; and they (*the de facto beneficiaries, operatives and Actors*) are the *Obligees*, several and jointly. The Actors and Colluders who benefited and profited from their fraud - creatures must bear

burdens and obligations to satisfy the misrepresented *Debts* created by their colorable operations; by their *Misprision*; by their *Malfesance*; and by consequence of their *operative* and ‘*Constructive Frauds*’.

All Rights Reserved; Declaring All ‘Estates in Reversion’,

The Aborigines / Moors / Al Moroccans / Heirs of the Land (America / Al Moroc)

Northwest Amexem / Northwest Africa / North America / ‘The North Gate’



Note: Some texts and conceptual content was derived from, and shared by Paul Savage El and from other sources. The same was conjoined and edited for this presentment and offered for assisting in informational, logistical, and lawful analysis - by Taj Tarik Bey.

Philology is a noun; is derived from the Old Moorish Latin word, *philologia*, and means, “the love of learning and literature.” Thus, Philology relates to literature, study, and scholarship.

- Study! Use critical thinking, and make knowledge your own! -

