



BECOMING ADEPT
Through Quantum Mechanics
&
Epigenetic Reprograming of Your Belief Systems

CONTRACT PAGE
1 of 3

DISCLAIMER

Obtaining an awareness of the information provided in this course will serve no functional value without **YOUR Application** of the information explained herein.

“YOU”

THE STUDENT MUST APPLY THE ARTICULATED FUNCTIONAL PROCESS
(Explained Herein)
TO BECOME EFFECTIVELY SUCCESSFUL IN
REAPING THE BENEFITS OF WHAT THIS COURSE OFFERS

GUARANTEES

“The Adept Study Course” Annihilates

*“The Victim Syndrome”,
the notion of
“Que sera, sera”,
“Whatever Will Be, Will Be”*

“The Adept Study Course”

“WILL” provide each serious capable student an in-depth comprehension of not only how one may MASTER the **Metaphysical Art of Manifestation**, Your Key to creating

AN OPTIMAL LIFE EXPERIENCE,

MANIFESTING ALL OF YOUR OWN PERSONAL EXPECTATIONS,

in accordance with Divine Law, but also, provides a comprehensive explanation of the actual method in which one can become their own

COSMOSE

or,

The Power To Become

The Actual Cause of Most of What Occurs In “YOUR” Life!

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR
BECOMING ADEPT



CONTRACT PAGE

2 of 3

Several knowledgeable Moorish American Teachers across a variety of academic disciplines will I'm sure proclaim aspects of this work to be acts of Heresy.

The above will come about as a result of the fact that "The Adept Study Course" is guaranteed to draw students into accepting the logic and truths of certain realities which will (in fact) be very much at odds with many generally accepted misnomers regarding the Prophets **Noble Drew Ali** and **Jesus** the Nazarene who both conveyed similar messages to their communities which were uniformly suffering greatly from a lack of knowledge. As a means with good purpose to demystify the powers of these to mortal men; apposed to teaching the same message in any similar manner as did both Masterminds (Noble Drew Ali and Jesus) who often taught through Parables and/or Exemplum, this course (designed specifically for members of our modern age Indigenous Amexem Community) will employ a scientific methodology which will systematically break down into laymen's terms (with common language) the comprehensive details of the Scientific Explanations for the esoteric messages both imparted. This unique approach will help the serious student to understand better and hopefully appreciate more fully these divinely inspired men's primary intent which has unfortunately remained so prevalently misunderstood and therefor (*despite well intentions*) also miscommunicated so often within the mainstream teachings of our Indigenous Moorish American community.

Although (as stated above) a number of well respected teachers will (initially) claim the truths conveyed within this course of study to be Heretical in nature or worse; the individuals who make such proclamations will only represent the uninformed who have

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR
BECOMING ADEPT

CONTRACT PAGE

3 of 3

not taken the time to read, comprehend and become informed as to the true nature of what this Adept Study Course offers and delivers each student uniquely.

It is most likely that the serious minded focused student will be exhilarated by new realizations that they can change the character of their life with science-based belief changing techniques to become the co-creators of their own destiny.

This **Meta-civics** Study Course and EduActive Curriculum Guide contains information which can (at a minimum) heighten twofold each capable and serious minded student's cognitive awareness of their unique connections between their (perceived) cellular biology and the

energetic functional mechanics
of their
Spirit Person's Life Changing Divine Psyche,
IN A MANNER IN WHICH IT MAY BE APPLIED!

This course will reveal (among many other useful realities) a number of eye opening transformational eureka moments, epiphanies which break the ridged belief paradigms of *conventional thinking to make obsolete many common*

IDEOLOGIES

Regarding The Nature of Life Itself,

&

**Our Creator's Intended Ultimate Purpose For Affording Each of Us
The Experience of Our Own Unique Human Existences!**

END OF CONTRACT

[Knowing Multiple Perspective Can Be Enriching](#)

Most of the programing which defines the scope of our abilities and inabilities, i.e. what we can and can not do, were indelibly instilled in our psyche by age 6.

The affronted "**Can and Can Not Do**" Beliefs Systems are the powerfully engrained PROGRAMS which MUST be purged and rewritten in order to effectuate real, meaningful, sustainable positive change.

Metaphysically Effectuating A Positive Global Course Divergence
from Humanity's present trajectory's arch toward
Earth's 6th Mass Extinction Event

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR
BECOMING ADEPT

via

The Mechanisms of Humanity's Uniform Thought Processes,
(Humanity's Hive Mind)
is not only possible; it is in fact, most probably,
Humanity's Next Natural Evolution,
Expressed With Our Perceived Objective Reality As A
Spiritual and Intellectual

Uplifting of Fallen Humanity!

You're Personally Responsible For Everything In Your Life

ONCE YOU'RE AWARE

You're Personally Responsible For Everything In Your Life.

Because there are so many things in which most are currently unaware of,
to effect truly sustainable positive change of those things in our lives
which we heretofore have been unable to change,
one must first become Response-Able
in order to **adeptly** take on the Responsibility of changing
those things in our lives which **MUST** be changed
in order to change that which we wish to change in your life and within the
New **Adept's Adaptation** Era.

Those Cellular Biologists who are now at their discipline's scientific cutting edge
have come to recognize that there exists incontrovertible evidence that the
previously held Westernized Eurocentric Academic Dogmas of "biology's most
cherished **Allopathic Tenets** regarding genetic determinism were always
fundamentally flawed."

Allopathic medicine, or **allopathy**, is a term which refers to science-based, modern western medicine,
such as the use of medications or surgery to treat or suppress symptoms or the ill effects of disease.

Tenets: are the principles or beliefs, especially one of the main principles of a religion or philosophy: *the
tenets Western Allopathic Medicine.*

Even with humans being spectacularly successful in sticking to false **beliefs** with
great passion; the truths revealed within this course (through its imparted fact's)
will reshape and provide New Solid Foundations for Humanity's shifting
contemporary scientific reasonings, as well as provide greater overall stability to

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR **BECOMING ADEPT**

the expanding circumference of our philosophical comprehensions and evolutionary spiritual wisdoms equally.

The following article regarding the **Race Paradigm Social Dynamic**, is simply provided an example for your broader understanding as to where the more progressive minds within the general world of academic sciences are now headed. Great change is coming about! And, all of these important changes which are about to reshape the bedrock foundations of humanity's Hive-mind are all **Well Rooted In Science**. If you wish to skip this particular research article, that's fine. But just remember that all of the information provided will at some future point be reflected upon at some future point as reference validation, along with links to the original articles similarly to this:

<http://www.councilforresponsiblegenetics.org/Projects/PastProject.aspx?projectId=11>

“Biologists have known for a long time that gene expression is complex and DNA does not determine biology, let alone other characteristics of physical and mental health, behavior and intelligence. Nevertheless, over the years, the deterministic model that genes alone define biology has become enshrined as the prevailing paradigm. This dogma was manifested on a large scale in the pursuit of the Human Genome Project. Why do scientists, with the full knowledge that various aspects of the cellular machinery and the environment work in cohort, continue to apply and propagate the DNA mantra? The motivations may be many, but chief among them is the simplicity of the “DNA is everything” model, and the outside commercial and scientific incentives available for such a focus. The application of DNA ideology has led to a problematic construction of race, sexuality, and intelligence, as seen through a lens of genetic determinism and has fostered the belief that for each of us our physical and mental well-being are pre-programmed and reflect the composition of our individual DNA. This scientific interpretation enhances a sense of inevitability and forecloses efforts at promoting social justice by presenting them as futile.

With there being so many important misnomers to explore and comprehend such as the misnomer of the social construct identified as: “RACE”, it will serve the reader well to provide greater insights on these scientific realities in comparison to their social-political falsities. The following is one such expert's explanation:

Over the last 35 years a major change has taken place in our biological understanding of **the concept of human “race,”** largely as a consequence of an immense increase in our knowledge of human genetics. As a biological rather than **a social construct**, “race” has ceased to be seen as a fundamental reality characterizing the human species. Nevertheless, there appear from time to time claims that racial categories represent not arbitrary socially and historically defined groups but objective biological divisions based on genetic differences. The most recent widely noticed rebirth of such claims is an Op-Ed by Armand Marie Leroi in the March 14, 2005, *New York Times*, an essay that illustrates both the **classical confusions about the reality of racial categories** and the more recent erroneous conclusions about the relevance of such racial

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR **BECOMING ADEPT**

identifications for medical practice.

There are four facts about human variation upon which there is universal agreement. First, the human species as a whole has immense genetic variation from individual to individual. Any two unrelated human beings differ by about 3 million distinct DNA variants.

Second, by far the largest amount of that variation, about 85%, is among individuals within local national or linguistic populations, within the French, within the Kikuyu, within the Japanese. There is diversity from population to population in how much genetic variation each contains, depending upon how much immigration into the population has occurred from a variety of other groups and also on the size of the population. The United States, with a very large population whose ancestors came from all over the earth including the original inhabitants of the New World, is genetically very variable whereas small populations of local Amazonian tribes are less genetically variable, although they are by no means genetically uniform. Despite the differences in amount of genetic variation within local populations, the finding that on the average 85% of all human genetic variation is within local populations has been a remarkably consistent result of independent studies carried out over twenty-five years using data from both proteins and DNA.

Of the remaining 15% of human variation, between a quarter and a half is between local populations within classically defined human “races,” between the French and the Ukrainians, between the Kikuyu and the Ewe, between the Japanese and the Koreans. The remaining variation, about 6% to 10% of the total human variation is between the classically defined geographical races that we think of in an everyday sense as **identified by skin color, hair form, and nose shape**. This imprecision in assigning the proportion of variation assigned to differences among populations within “races” as compared to variation among “races,” arises precisely **because there is no objective way to assign the various human populations to clear-cut races**. Into which “race” do the Hindi and Urdu speakers of the Indian sub-continent fall? Should they be grouped with Europeans or with Asians or should a separate race be assigned to them? Are the Lapps of Finland and the Hazari of Afghanistan really Europeans or Asians? What about Indonesians and Melanesians? Different biologists have made different assignments and the number of “races” **assigned by anthropologists and geneticists has varied from three to 30**.

Third, a small number of genetic traits, such as skin color, hair form, nose shape (**traits for which no genes have not actually been identified**) and a

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR **BECOMING ADEPT**

relatively few proteins like the Rh blood type, vary together so that many populations with very dark skin color will also have dark, tightly curled hair, broad noses and a high frequency of the Rh blood type Ro. Those who, like Leroi, argue for the objective reality of racial divisions claim that when such covariation is taken into account, clear-cut racial divisions will appear and that these divisions will correspond largely to the **classical division of the world into Whites, Blacks, Yellows, Reds and Browns**. It is indeed possible to combine the information from covarying traits into weighted averages that take account of the traits' covariation (**technically known as "principal components" of variation**). When this has been done, however, **the results have not borne out the claims for racial divisions**. The geographical maps of principal component values constructed by Cavalli-Sforza, Menozzi and Piazza in their famous *The History and Geography of Human Genes* show continuous variation over the whole world, with **no sharp boundaries** and with no greater similarity occurring between Western and Eastern Europeans than between Europeans and Africans! Thus, the classically defined races do not appear from an unprejudiced description of human variation. **Only the Australian Aborigines appear as a unique group.**

A clustering of populations that does correspond to classical continental "races" can be achieved by using a special class of non-functional DNA, microsatellites (short segments of DNA that consist of repeated sequences of usually two to five nucleotides, and are often useful markers in studies of genetic linkage because they tend to vary from one individual to another). By selecting among microsatellites, it is possible to find a set that will cluster together African populations, European populations, and Asian populations, etc. These selected microsatellite DNA markers are not typical of genes, however, but have been chosen precisely because they are "maximally informative" about group differences. Thus, they tell us what we already knew about the differences between populations of the classical "races" from skin color, face shape, and hair form. They have the added advantage of allowing us to make good estimates of the amount of intermixture that has occurred between populations as a result of migrations and conquests.

The every-day socially defined geographical races do identify groups of populations that are somewhat more closely similar to each other genetically. Most important from the standpoint of the biological meaning of these racial categories, however, most **human genetic variation does not show such "race" clustering**. For the vast majority of human genetic variations, classical racial categories as defined by a combination of geography, skin color, nose and

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR
BECOMING ADEPT

hair shape, an occasional blood type, or selected microsatellites make no useful prediction of genetic differences. This failure of the clustering of local populations into biologically meaningful “races” based on a few clear genetic differences is not confined to the human species. **Zoologists long ago gave up the category of “race” for dividing up groups of animal populations** within a species, because so many of these races turned out to be based on only one or two genes; **two animals born in the same litter could belong to different “races.”**

In his article, Leroi is **inconsistent and shifting** in his notion of race. Sometimes it corresponds to the classical social definitions of major races, but elsewhere he makes “race” coincident with a small local group such as the Negritos or Inuit. In this shifting concept of “race” he goes back to the varying use of the term in the 19th century. Then, people spoke of the “Scots race,” “the Irish race” and the “race of Englishmen.” Indeed “race” could stand for a family group defined by male inheritance, as in the description of the last male in a family line as “the last of his race.” This inconsistent usage arises from the fact that there is no clear criterion of how much difference between groups of genetically related individuals should correspond to the category “race.” If it had turned out that groups of related populations were clearly different in the great majority of their genes from other groups, then racial categories would be clear and unambiguous and they would have great predictive power for as yet unstudied characters. But that is not the way it has turned out, at least for the human species.

The fourth and last fact about genetic differences between groups is that these differences are in the process of breaking down because of the very large amount of migration and intergroup mating that was always true episodically in the history of the human species but is now more widespread than ever. The result is that individuals identified by themselves or others as belonging to one “race,” based on the small number of visible characters used in classical race definitions, are likely to have ancestry that is a mixture of these groups, a fact that has considerable significance for the medical uses of race identification.

A common claim, repeated by Leroi, is that racial categories are of considerable medical use, especially in diagnostic testing, because some genetic disorders are very common in ancestral racial populations. For example, sickle cell anemia is common among West Africans, who were brought as slaves to the New World, and Tay-Sachs disease is common among Ashkenazi Jews. So, it is argued, racial information can be a useful diagnostic indicator. Certainly classical “race” contains some medically relevant information in some cases, as for example, “white” compared to “African American” if the contrast is between Finland and West Africa, but not if it is a contrast between a “white” Mediterranean and an

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR **BECOMING ADEPT**

“Asian” Indian. There is a confusion here between race and ancestry. **Sickle cell anemia is in high frequency not only in West Africans but also in some “white” Middle Eastern and Indian populations.** Moreover, a person with, say, one African great-grandparent, but who is identified by herself and others as “white” has a one in eight chance of inheriting a sickle-cell mutation carried by that ancestor. There are, in addition, a number of other simply inherited hemoglobin abnormalities, the thalassemias, that are in high frequency in some places in the Mediterranean (Sardinia), Arabia and Southeast Asia. The highest frequency known for a thalassemia (80%) is in Nepal, but it is rare in most of Asia. The categorization of individuals simply as “white” or “Afro-American” or “Asian” will result in a failure to test for such abnormal hemoglobins because these abnormalities do not characterize the identified “race” of the patient.

Even group identities below the level of the conventional races are misleading. Two of my incontrovertibly WASP grandchildren have a single Ashkenazi Jewish great-grandparent and so have a one in eight chance of inheriting a Tay Sachs abnormality carried by that ancestor. For purposes of medical testing we do not want to know whether a person is “Hispanic” but rather whether that person's family came from a Caribbean country such as Cuba, that had a large influx of West African slaves, or one in which there was a great deal of intermixture with native Moorish Americans or tribes in Chile and/or Mexico, or one in which there was only a negligible population of non-Europeans. Racial identification simply does not do the work needed. What we ought to ask on medical questionnaires is not racial identification, but ancestry. “Do you know of any ancestors who were (Ashkenazi Jews, or from West Africa, from certain regions of the Mediterranean, from Japan)?” Once again, racial categorization is a bad predictor of biology.

There has been an interesting dialectic between the notion of human races and the use of race as a general biological category. Historically, the concept of race was imported into biology, and not only the biology of the human species, from social practice. The consciousness that human beings come in distinct varieties led, in the history of biology, to the construction of “race” as a subgrouping within species. For a long time the category “race” was a standard taxonomic level. But the use of “race” in a general biological context then reinforced its application to humans. After all, lots of animal and plant species are divided into races, so why not *Homo sapiens*? Yet the classification of animal and plant species into named races was at all times an ill-defined and idiosyncratic practice. There was no clear criterion of what constituted a race of animals or plants that could be applied over species in general. The growing realization in the middle of the twentieth century that most species had some genetic differentiation from local population

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR **BECOMING ADEPT**

to local population led finally to the abandonment in biology of any hope that a uniform criterion of race could be constructed. Yet biologists were loathe to abandon the idea of race entirely. In an attempt to hold on to the concept while make it objective and generalizable, Theodosius Dobzhansky, the leading biologist in the study of the genetics of natural populations, introduced the “geographical race,” which he defined as any population that differed genetically in any way from any other population of the species. But as genetics developed and it became possible to characterize the genetic differences between individuals and populations it became apparent, that every population of every species in fact differs genetically to some degree from every other population. Thus, every population is a separate “geographic race” and it was realized that nothing was added by the racial category. The consequence of this realization was the abandonment of “race” as a biological category during the last quarter of the twentieth century, an abandonment that spread into anthropology and human biology.

However, that abandonment was never complete in the case of the human species. There has been a **constant pressure from social and political practice and the coincidence of racial, cultural and social class divisions reinforcing the social reality of race, to maintain “race” as a human classification.** If it were admitted that **the category of “race” is a purely social construct,** however, **it would have a weakened legitimacy!** Thus, there have been repeated attempts to reassert the objective biological reality of human racial categories **despite the evidence to the contrary.**

*Richard Lewontin is Alexander Agassiz Professor Emeritus of Zoology at **Harvard University**, has written a number of books and articles on evolution and human variation, including *Biology as Ideology: The Doctrine of DNA and The Triple Helix: Gene, Organism, and Environment.**

As stated previously, the above article was provided as an example. But . . . it was also provided as a hedge and buffer (along with the distraction links) to separate many who may be simply curious from those most likely similar to yourself who have continued reading to ascertain a more substantive basis anchored with a more considerably impactful application. In other words, to filter out many who would be less desirable from those who would be most likely better suited to become possible Future Adept Candidates, apposed to those who would no doubt misuse the wisdom imparted here as would your average street magician as simple parlor tricks or worse.

So, with that we’ll continue.
And . . . congratulations for making it this far!

A SUPER LEARNING COURSE FOR
BECOMING ADEPT

On its most basic level, LIFE is awareness, whereas, **LIVING** is qualitatively relevant to the sentient's energetic QUALITY (rather than quantity) of life and there is an important difference between Awareness and Self-awareness, just as there is a tremendous difference between being conscious and Being Consciousness. The Subconscious Mind is ALWAYS IN A PRESENT STATE even when pondering upon the past. Understanding **The Life Changing Power of Mindfulness** will change our community's future.

Only a select few will be afforded opportunity to take part in this course with only 9 individuals per-class, and only three from each class likely to graduate. So, should feel, think or believe you may be one destined to be one of the Adept Graduates, effectuate the following two part instruction:

After outfitting yourself with reasonably good headphones or earbuds, make sure you are sitting upright in a comfortable, safe and stationary position undistracted from **"ANY"** other Auditory or Visual stimuli before [CLICKING HERE](#), with eyes closed, start the the instructor's binaural beat audio message.



After Listening,
should you feel further compelled to continue call:

(352) 283-3023